

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,442	12/05/2003	T. William Hutchens	016866-001507	9375
20350	7590 01/17/2006		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
TWO EMBAI	RCADERO CENTER OR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		1743		

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Α
ø.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/728,442	HUTCHENS ET AL.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lyle A. Alexander	1743
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) ust be filed within one of the following
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da ).	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as te of the final rejection, even if timely filed.
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying the issues for ected claims.
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>	):	
non-allowable claim(s).		•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 32-48 and 63-81. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 49-62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' terminal disclaimers are appreciated and will overcome the appropriate Double Patenting rejections resulting in the allowance of claims 32-48 and 63-81. However, USP 6,225,047; 6,811,969 and 6,881,586 were not terminally disclaimed and the Office maintains the Double Patenting rejections of record. Applicants' state these patent fail to teach the claimed combination of affinity binding and an energy absorbing molecules. The Office maintains the aforementioned patents all claim biospecific interaction which have been read on the claimed "affinity" binding. Additionally, the aforementioned patents claim laser desorption of the analytes which requires the energy of the laser to be absorbed to release the analyte and has been on the instant claims.